

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspro.gev

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 9725	
09/963,637	09/27/2001	Gary A. Brist	219.40432X00		
20457 7590 05.02.2003 ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER		
			PAK, SUNG H		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2874			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T						
	Application No		Applicant(s)	/			
- · · · · -	09/963,637		BRIST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Sung H. Pak		2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory min will apply and will expire a cause the application	vever, may a reply be timenimum of thirty (30) dayses SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 /	November 2001						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for f	ormal matters, pi	rosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayre	, 1933 C.D. 11, 4	103 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from conside	ration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)ဩ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.					
Application Papers	.r						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception		ted to by the Eva	miner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examine	ır.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	55 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list				annlication)			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	tic priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 120	o and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	-	-					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	4) _ 5) _ 6) _	_	y (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Pre-amendment filed 11/19/2001 has been entered. All changes to the claims have been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

All references submitted in the information disclosure statement have been considered.

Drawings

The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 11/19/2001. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 2, the claim recitation "said waveguide structure" lacks proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collins et al ("Technique for micro-machining millimeter-wave rectangular waveguide").

Collins et al reference was cited in the information disclosure statement.

Collins et al reference discloses a method of forming a waveguide with all the limitations set forth in the claims including: forming a trench by selectively removing portion of the substrate (Fig. 1-b) in re claims 1, 4; providing metalized surface along bottom and two side surfaces along the trench (Fig. 1-c, pg. 996) in re claim 5; applying a bonding surface having a metalized capping surface to the substrate such that metalized surface is located over the trench (Fig. 1-d) in re claim 6-7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2874

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 8-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins et al as applied above, in view of Kronz et al (US 6,185,354 B1).

Kronz et al reference was cited in the information disclosure statement.

Collins et al reference as applied above, discloses a method with all the limitations set forth in the claims except it does not explicitly disclose the use of printed circuit board substrate and filled waveguide channel. Specifically Collins et al discloses forming a trench on a substrate by removing portions of the substrate (as applied above) in re claims 9, 11, 12, 17, 22; forming metalized bottom and two side surfaces (as applied above) in re claims 10, 18-19, 23-25; forming a top metalized surface over the trench by affixing a metalized capping surface over the trench (as applied above) in re claims 13-15, 20, 25.

On the other hand, Kronz et al discloses a method of forming a waveguide in a printed circuit board wherein integral waveguide is formed on a printed circuit board, in which the waveguide is surrounded by metallic layers (Fig. 1, abstract). Kronz et al teaches that such configuration is advantageous because it allow for integration of optical waveguide into a printed circuit board and facilitates impedance matching of intraboard signals (column 2 lines 7-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Collins et al device to have filled waveguide formed on a printed circuit board.

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (703) 308-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 6:30am-5:00pm.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

sp

April 22, 2003

Sung H. Pak Examiner

Art Unit 2874